arXiv:1703.02405v1 [quant-ph] 7 Mar 2017

Linear bosonic quantum channels defined by superpositions of maximally distinguishable Gaussian environments

T. J. Volkoff[1,*]

[1] *Department of Physics, Konkuk University, Seoul 05029, Korea*

Pairs of pure, single mode Gaussian states that exhibit minimal fidelity subject to an energy constraint are derived and their lowest energy quantum superposition is considered as the initial environment state in the Stinespring representation of a linear bosonic quantum channel acting on a single bosonic mode. The linear quantum dynamics is defined by unitary evolution of the system and environment corresponding to either a passive linear optical element $U_{\rm BS}$ or two-mode squeezing $U_{\rm TM}$. As the constraint energy is increased, the initial environment state becomes maximally nonclassical. When the system is initially prepared in a coherent state, application of the quantum channel defined by $U_{\rm BS}$ results in a nonclassical state for all values of the environment energy constraint. We discuss the following properties of the channel: 1) the maximal noise that a coherent system can tolerate, beyond which an attenuator channel cannot impart nonclassical correlations (for $U_{\rm BS}$), 2) the noise added to a coherent system by a phase-preserving linear amplification channel (for $U_{\rm TM}$), and 3) a lower bound for the trace norm contraction coefficient on the closed, convex hull of energy-constrained Gaussian states.

I. INTRODUCTION

The generation and characterization in the laboratory of quantum superpositions of large amplitude, classical configurations of the electromagnetic field, i.e., quantum superpositions of multimode coherent states, has forcefully demonstrated that the physical principle of quantum superposition can be brought to bear on the kinematics of certain macroscopic systems [1–4]. Beyond the fundamental interest of macroscopic quantum superposition states, these states appear in proposals for parity codes for continuous variable (CV) quantum information processing [5, 6] and in the construction of optimal coherent state binary detection protocols [7]. On the other hand, in the realm of quantum dynamics of CV systems, linear bosonic Gaussian channels serve as testbeds for fundamental concepts, similar to the role of qubit channels in the study of two-level quantum spin systems. At the interface of the above aspects of CV quantum systems lie the problems concerning: 1) linear bosonic Gaussian channels acting on superpositions of maximally distinguishable Gaussian pure states, and 2) linear bosonic (non-Gaussian) quantum channels defined by superpositions of maximally distinguishable Gaussian pure states of the environment. These problems are complementary, and the present paper focuses on the second problem under a physically motivated second moment constraint.

Prior work on open quantum dynamics with "Schrödinger cat"-like superpositions of Gaussian states has focused mainly on the quantum properties of a system initially prepared in an even or odd coherent state $|\psi_{\pm}(\alpha)\rangle \propto |-\alpha\rangle \pm |\alpha\rangle$, where $|\alpha\rangle$ is a Glauber coherent state, i.e., an eigenvector of the annihilation operator with eigenvalue α [8–11]. However, among state vectors that can be written as a complex linear combination of two Gaussian state vectors $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ that satisfy $\langle H\rangle_{|\psi\rangle_{1(2)}} = E$, where H is a Hamiltonian quadratic in the creation and annihilation operators, there is nothing particularly special about $|\psi_{\pm}(\alpha)\rangle$. Even the set of states which is considered to be Glauber coherent states, i.e., the union of the kernels of the linear operators $(A-\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, where A, $A^\dagger$, $\mathbb{I}$ are generators of the Heisenberg-Weyl Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_3$ (defined by $[A, \mathbb{I}] = 0$, $[A, A^\dagger] = \mathbb{I}$), depends on the basis chosen for $\mathfrak{h}_3$ [12]. Physical situations in which the basis of the Heisenberg-Weyl Lie algebra plays an important role include: 1) dynamics of elementary excitations above the Bogoliubov vacuum in the weakly imperfect condensed Bose gas [13], and 2) the Unruh effect [14].

In this work, we define and examine several properties of linear bosonic quantum channels that arise from the linear coupling of a quantum oscillator to a single mode environment, when the initial environment state is prepared in a superposition of pure, normalized Gaussian states $|\psi_1\rangle$, $|\psi_2\rangle$ that are maximally distant subject to the energy constraint $\langle a^\dagger a\rangle_{|\psi_{1(2)}\rangle} = E$. Taking $\mathcal{H}_{S(E)}$ to be the Hilbert spaces of the system (environment), the quantum channel Ξ is expressed in terms of its Stinespring form

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi(\rho) &= \mathrm{tr}_E V\rho V^\dagger \\ V|\psi\rangle &:= U|\psi\rangle \otimes |\Omega_+\rangle_E \ , \ \forall\, |\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_S, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $|\Omega_+\rangle_E$ is an operationally-motivated superposition of $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$, and $V : \mathcal{H}_S \to \mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$ is the Stinespring isometry defined by the joint unitary dynamics U of the combined system and environment. Two important examples of linear quantum dynamics correspond to the unitary evolution U defined by: 1) $U_{\rm BS}(\zeta) := e^{\zeta a_0^\dagger a_1 - \overline{\zeta} a_0 a_1^\dagger}$, $|\zeta| \in [0, \pi/2]$, corresponding to a $SU(2)$ (i.e., passive) linear optical operation implementing an attenuation channel, or 2) $U_{\rm TM}(r) := e^{r a_0 a_1 - r a_0^\dagger a_1^\dagger}$, $r > 0$, corresponding to an $SU(1,1)$ two-mode squeezing

* volkoff@konkuk.ac.kr

operation implementing a phase-preserving amplification channel. The corresponding channels in Eq.(1) will be denoted by Ξ_ζ or Ξ_r, or, when a property applies to both classes of channel, simply by Ξ. The actions of the dual channels Ξ^*_ζ and Ξ^*_r on the Weyl CCR C^*-algebra are given by

$$\Xi(W(x,y)) = W(K_1[x,y]^T)\chi_{|\Omega\rangle_+\langle\Omega_+|}(K_2[x,y]^T) \quad (2)$$

where $W(x,y) := e^{ixq+iyp}$, $\chi_\rho(x,y) := \mathrm{tr}(\rho W(x,y))$ is the characteristic function of the state ρ, and $K_1 = \mathrm{diag}(k,k)$, $K_2 = |\mathrm{diag}(1,1) - K_1|^{1/2}$ are matrices on $\mathbb{R}^2$ parametrized by $k = \cos|\zeta|$ (for Ξ_ζ) or $k = \cosh r$ (for Ξ_r). If the system is initially prepared in a thermal Gaussian state, the weak complements of the Ξ channels correspond to the quantum dynamics of the superposition state $|\Omega_+\rangle$ under an important subset of single mode bosonic Gaussian channels (viz., case (C) of Ref.[15]).

A brief outline of the paper is as follows: in Section II, the superposition states $|\Omega_+\rangle$ of the environment mode are operationally motivated and derived. In Section III, we analyze the creation of nonclassical states by the Ξ_ζ channel and the noisy dynamics of the Ξ channels. In Section IV, a lower bound for the contraction coefficient of the Ξ channels on a closed, convex hull of energetically constrained Gaussian states is derived. A pedagogical review of Gaussian states and relevant concepts from the theory of open bosonic systems can be found in, e.g., Ref.[16].

II. MACROSCOPICALLY DISTINCT PAIRS OF PURE GAUSSIAN STATES

An operational notion of macroscopic distinctness in the set of pure Gaussian states can be obtained by identifying two states, $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$, that exhibit minimal fidelity $|\langle\psi_1|\psi_2\rangle|^2$ subject to the energy constraint $\langle a^\dagger a\rangle_{|\psi_1\rangle} = \langle a^\dagger a\rangle_{|\psi_2\rangle} = E$. Specifically, in the task of minimal error state discrimination [17], the states $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ defined in this way can be distinguished with lower minimal probability of error $p_{\min}$ than any other pair of isoenergetic Gaussian pure states. Because the set of quantum states σ that satisfy $\langle a^\dagger a\rangle_\sigma$ is compact [16], and because the function $F(|\psi\rangle,|\phi\rangle) := |\langle\psi|\phi\rangle|$ is continuous on the direct product of the unit spheres of the Hilbert space, the minimum fidelity is well-defined.

Every pure single mode Gaussian state can be written as $|(\alpha,z)\rangle := D(\alpha)S(z)|0\rangle$, where $S(z) := e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{z}a^2 - z a^{\dagger 2}\right)}$ is the unitary squeezing operator, $D(\alpha) := e^{\alpha a^\dagger - \overline{\alpha}a}$ is the unitary displacement operator, and $|0\rangle$ is the Fock vacuum state. A naïve guess for the energy scaling of $-\log p_{\min}$, based on the minimal achievable error probability for distinguishing a pair of coherent states $|\pm\alpha\rangle$, with $|\alpha| = \sqrt{E}$, that exhibit the lowest fidelity among coherent states that satisfy the energy constraint, would be $-\log p_{\mathrm{err}} \sim \mathcal{O}(E)$. However, we show in Proposition 1 that the possibility of investing part of the allotted energy into quadrature squeezing allows for much smaller minimal achievable error probability.

Proposition 1. *Let p_{min} denote the minimal error probability for distinguishing two pure Gaussian states $|\varphi_1\rangle$, $|\varphi_2\rangle$, present with equal* a priori *probability, subject to the constraint $\langle a^\dagger a\rangle_{|\varphi_j\rangle} = E$, $j = 1,2$. Then p_{min} satisfies*

$$-\log p_{\min} \geq 4E^2 + \mathcal{O}(E). \quad (3)$$

The minimal error probability $p_{\mathrm{err}}\left(|\varphi_1\rangle,|\varphi_2\rangle\right)$ for distinguishing $|\varphi_1\rangle$ and $|\varphi_2\rangle$ (present with equal *a priori* probability) with an arbitrary quantum measurement is given by [17]:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\mathrm{err}}\left(|\varphi_1\rangle,|\varphi_2\rangle\right) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - |\langle\varphi_1|\varphi_2\rangle|^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}|\langle\varphi_1|\varphi_2\rangle|^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(|\langle\varphi_1|\varphi_2\rangle|^4\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where the second line invokes the MacLaurin series of $\sqrt{1-x}$. Therefore, the proof of Proposition 1 reduces to the task of identification of pure states $|\varphi_1\rangle$, $|\varphi_2\rangle$ such that $|\langle\varphi_1|\varphi_2\rangle|^2 = e^{-4E^2+\mathcal{O}(E)}$, subject to the energy constraint. The proof is considerably simplified by noticing that the constrained minimization of the fidelity can be carried out over pairs of pure Gaussian states $|(\alpha_1,z_1)\rangle$, $|(\alpha_2,z_2)\rangle$ with real parameters $\alpha_1 \leq 0$, $\alpha_2 \geq 0$, $z_j \geq 0$, a fact which we presently demonstrate.

The fidelity between two pure Gaussian states $|\varphi_1\rangle$ and $|\varphi_2\rangle$, with covariance matrices Σ_1, Σ_2, respectively, is given by

$$|\langle\varphi_1|\varphi_2\rangle|^2 = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(m_1-m_2)^T(\Sigma_1+\Sigma_2)^{-1}(m_1-m_2)}}{\sqrt{\det\left((\Sigma_1+\Sigma_2)\right)}}, \quad (5)$$

as follows from a more general formula of Scutaru [18]. Consider the states $|(\alpha_1,z_1)\rangle$ and $|(\alpha_2,z_2)\rangle$, which are associated with mean vectors $m_{1(2)} = [\sqrt{2}\mathrm{Re}\alpha_{1(2)}, \sqrt{2}\mathrm{Im}\alpha_{1(2)}]^T$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ (Fig.(1a), gray ellipses). For an appropriate value of $\theta \in [0,\pi]$, the rotation $e^{-i\theta a^\dagger a}$ achieves the mapping $m_1 - m_2 \mapsto m_1' - m_2'$, where $m_1' - m_2'$ is parallel to the q-axis. The rotation preserves both the energy of each state and their inner product, producing the green ellipses in Fig.(1a). Projection of the mean vectors m_1, m_2 to the q-axis preserves the fidelity and lowers the expected energy of each state (Fig.(1a), red ellipses). In order for both of the resulting states to satisfy the energy constraint, the excess energy can be used to increase the magnitude of $m_1 - m_2$, and thereby reduce the fidelity (Fig.(1a), violet ellipses). If the rotation by $e^{-i\theta a^\dagger a}$ produces a relative mean vector $m_1' - m_2'$ such that m_1' and m_2' are on the same side of the p-axis, or such that either of m_1', m_2' lie on the p-axis, the mean vector nearer the origin can be projected to the origin, see, e.g., Fig.(1b), reducing the energy of both states. The excess energy is again used to scale $m_1 - m_2$.

Having reduced to the case of $m_1 - m_2$ on the q-axis, it

follows from Eq.(5) that the fidelity is minimal for Σ_1, Σ_2 having parallel eigenvectors. Furthermore, the quadratic polynomial $(m_1 - m_2)^T (\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2)^{-1} (m_1 - m_2)$ is maximal for Σ_1 and Σ_2 such that $m_1 - m_2$ is parallel to the eigenvector of the matrix $\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$ associated with its minimal eigenvalue. Therefore, the minimum of $|\langle(\alpha_1, z_1)|(\alpha_2, z_2)\rangle|^2$ is attained in the parameter region $\{\alpha_1 \leq 0\,,\, \alpha_2 \geq 0\,,\, z_j \geq 0\}$.

Proof of Proposition 1. We specialize to $|\varphi_1\rangle = D(r_1)S(w_1)|0\rangle$ and $|\varphi_2\rangle = D(r_2)S(w_2)|0\rangle$, with $r_1 \leq 0$, $r_2 \geq 0$, and $w_j \geq 0$. By defining $d_j := e^{2w_j}$, the formula of Eq.(5) becomes $|\langle\varphi_1|\varphi_2\rangle|^2 = F(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$, where

$$F(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2) := \frac{2\sqrt{d_1 d_2}}{d_1 + d_2} e^{-\frac{2d_1 d_2}{d_1 + d_2}(r_2 - r_1)^2}. \qquad (6)$$

For $d_1 = d_2 = d$, the function F takes the simple form $F(r_1, r_2, d) = e^{-d(r_2 - r_1)^2}$. However, if $d_1 = d_2$, the energy constraint, combined with the conditions $r_1 \leq 0$, $r_2 \geq 0$, requires that $r_1 = -r_2 = -\sqrt{E - \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{d-1}{\sqrt{d}}\right)^2}$. The fidelity thereby simplifies to

$$F(d) = e^{d^2 - (4E+2)d + 1} \qquad (7)$$

with parametric dependence on the energy E. On the interval $d \in [1, \infty)$, the minimum of the exponent of $F(d)$ is achieved for $d = d_c(E)$, where $d_c(E) = 2E + 1$. The corresponding value for the minimal fidelity, $F(d = d_c(E))$, is:

$$|\langle\varphi_1|\varphi_2\rangle|^2 = e^{-4E^2 - 4E}. \qquad (8)$$

Using this expression in Eq.(4) and collecting multiplicative prefactors into the exponential proves Proposition 1. We note that if the further assumption of equal magnitudes of m_1 and m_2 is made in the statement of Proposition 1, then inequality Eq.(3) holds with equality. □

The pair of states with $m_1 = -m_2$ on the q-axis that satisfies Eq.(8) is given by $|\varphi_1\rangle = |\big(-r(d_c(E)), \frac{1}{2}\ln d_c(E)\big)\rangle$, $|\varphi_2\rangle = |\big(r(d_c(E)), \frac{1}{2}\ln d_c(E)\big)\rangle$ where

$$r(d_c(E)) = \sqrt{E - \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{d_c(E) - 1}{\sqrt{d_c(E)}}\right)^2}. \qquad (9)$$

With $|\varphi_1\rangle$, $|\varphi_2\rangle$ defined in this way, Eq.(8) gives the minimal fidelity over all pairs of pure Gaussian states that satisfy the energy constraint and exhibit antipodal mean vectors. For example, as $E \to 0$, $|\varphi_1\rangle$ ($|\varphi_2\rangle$) reduces to the coherent state $|-\sqrt{E}\rangle$ ($|\sqrt{E}\rangle$). In the limit of large E, the ratio $d_c(E)/r(d_c(E))^2$ approaches 4.

The two-dimensional subspace V spanned by $|\big(\pm r(d_c(E)), \frac{1}{2}\ln d_c(E)\big)\rangle$ can be endowed with orthonormal basis $|\Omega_\pm\rangle \propto |\big(r(d_c(E)), \frac{1}{2}\ln d_c(E)\big)\rangle \pm |\big(-r(d_c(E)), \frac{1}{2}\ln d_c(E)\big)\rangle$. The relation $D(\alpha)S(z) = S(z)D(\alpha e^z)$ for real α and z implies that $|\Omega_\pm\rangle$ are squeezed versions of the even and odd coherent states. Explicitly,

$$|\Omega_\pm\rangle \propto \sum_{j=0}^{1} |\left((-1)^j r(d_c(E)), \frac{1}{2}\ln d_c(E)\right)\rangle$$
$$\propto S(\frac{1}{2}\ln d_c(E))\left(|\gamma_c\rangle \pm |-\gamma_c\rangle\right), \qquad (10)$$

where $\gamma_c := r(d_c(E))\sqrt{d_c(E)}$. For all E, the superposition state $|\Omega_+\rangle$ exhibits the minimal value of $\langle a^\dagger a\rangle$ among all states in V. In the following sections, we take $|\Omega_+\rangle$ to be the initial state of the environment that defines the channel Ξ in Eq.(1), and make use of both expressions in Eq.(10), according to convenience in the application. Regardless of whether Stinespring form of the Ξ channel is defined by $U_{\rm BS}$ or $U_{\rm TM}$, it exhibits the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ covariance property $e^{i\pi a^\dagger a}\Xi(\rho)e^{-i\pi a^\dagger a} = \Xi(e^{i\pi a^\dagger a}\rho e^{-i\pi a^\dagger a})$ that is inherited from the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry of the environment state $|\Omega_+\rangle$. Further technical facts concerning the state $|\Omega_+\rangle$



FIG. 1. Quadrature ellipses of the pure Gaussian states considered in the proof of Proposition 1. The major (minor) axis of each ellipse corresponds to the greatest (least) variance exhibited by a measurement of a quadrature. States corresponding to gray, green, and violet ellipses necessarily satisfy the energy constraint $\langle a^\dagger a\rangle = E$. Two cases are shown: a) $m_1' - m_2'$ parallel to q-axis when m_1 and m_2 are on opposite sides of the p-axis. b) $m_1' - m_2'$ parallel to q-axis when m_1 and m_2 are on the same side of the p-axis.

and the channel Ξ, which will be useful in subsequent sections, are collected in Appendices A and B (see also Fig.3).

III. CREATION AND DESTRUCTION OF NONCLASSICAL CORRELATIONS VIA THE Ξ CHANNEL

A quantum oscillator, initially prepared in a classical state[1] and subsequently coupled by 50:50 beamsplitter to a single-mode environment prepared in a superposition of macroscopically distinct Gaussian pure states, does not necessarily evolve to a state that exhibits substantial nonclassical behavior. To see this, one may first consider the nonclassicality of a state ρ to be quantified by the distance $\delta(\rho) := \inf_{\sigma\in\Delta} \|\rho-\sigma\|_1$, where $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the trace norm and Δ is the set of classical states [20]. In the simple scenario in which the environment mode is initialized in the even coherent state $|\psi_+(\alpha)\rangle \propto |\alpha\rangle + |-\alpha\rangle$ (which exhibits $\delta(|\psi_+(\alpha)\rangle\langle\psi_+(\alpha)|) \leq 2e^{-\alpha^2}\sinh(\alpha^2) < 1$ [21]) and the system is initialized in the Fock vacuum $|0\rangle$ (which exhibits $\delta(|0\rangle\langle 0|) = 0$), one finds that application of a 50:50 beamsplitter produces an entangled coherent state [22]. Taking the partial trace over the environment results in a system state ρ' that satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(\rho') &\leq \|\rho' - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{1} |\frac{(-1)^j\alpha}{\sqrt{2}}\rangle\langle\frac{(-1)^j\alpha}{\sqrt{2}}|\|_1 \\ &= e^{-\alpha^2}\tanh\alpha^2, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

with the right hand side attaining a maximum of ~ 0.3003 at $\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\sinh^{-1}2}$. For $\alpha\to\infty$ ($\alpha\to 0$) the final system state ρ' is exponentially (polynomially) close to classical. Replacing the 50:50 beamsplitter in this example by a linear amplifier results in a classical output state of the system for any value of the gain.

By contrast, for the state $|\Omega_+\rangle$, the value $\delta(|\Omega_+\rangle\langle\Omega_+|)$ approaches the maximal possible value 2 as the energy E becomes infinite. Bounds on $\delta(|\Omega_+\rangle\langle\Omega_+|)$ can be calculated from the following inequalities [21]:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\left(|\Omega_+\rangle\langle\Omega_+|\right) &\geq 2\left(1-\sup_{\beta\in\mathbb{C}}|\langle\beta|\Omega_+\rangle|^2\right) \\ \delta\left(|\Omega_+\rangle\langle\Omega_+|\right) &\leq 2\sqrt{\left(1-\sup_{\beta\in\mathbb{C}}|\langle\beta|\Omega_+\rangle|^2\right)}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

These bounds are shown in Fig.2 (red lines). It can be seen that $\delta(|\Omega_+\rangle\langle\Omega_+|)$ grows at least like a power of E for $E \lesssim 1$.

[1] As is customary in the quantum optics literature, we consider a *classical state* ρ of a quantum oscillator to be defined by a Borel measure $\mu_\rho(d^2\alpha)$ on $\mathbb{C}$ via the relation $\rho = \int \mu_\rho(d^2\alpha)|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$ [19].



FIG. 2. Semilog plot of the bounds on $\delta(\rho)$ in Eq.(12) for $\rho = |\Omega_+\rangle\langle\Omega_+|$ (red circles), and the upper bound for $\delta(\rho)$ when $\rho = \Xi_{\zeta=\pi/4}(|0\rangle\langle 0|)$ (black circles). The inset shows contour plots of $|\langle\beta|\Omega_+\rangle|^2$ (ellipses centered farther from 0) and $\langle\beta|\Xi(|0\rangle\langle 0|)|\beta\rangle$ (ellipses centered closer to 0) for $E = 10$.

The distance $\delta(\Xi_\zeta(|0\rangle\langle 0|))$ possesses an upper bound given by $\delta(\Xi_\zeta(|0\rangle\langle 0|) \leq 2(1-\sup_{\beta\in\mathbb{C}}\langle\beta|\Xi_\zeta(|0\rangle\langle 0|)|\beta\rangle)^{1/2}$, which is shown in Fig.2 for $\zeta = \pi/4$ (black circles). However, a tighter upper bound is given by $\delta(\Xi_{\zeta=\pi/4}(|0\rangle\langle 0|)) \leq \delta(|\Omega_+\rangle\langle\Omega_+|)$. This inequality follows from the fact that quantum channels that map classical states to classical states decrease the distance δ [21]. Although Ξ_ζ does not preserve the set of classical states, the state $\Xi_{\zeta=\pi/4}(|0\rangle\langle 0|)$ has an alternative expression as the image of $|\Omega_+\rangle\langle\Omega_+|$ under a bosonic Gaussian channel that maps classical states to classical states; explicitly, $\Xi_{\zeta=\pi/4}(|0\rangle\langle 0|) = \mathrm{tr}_E U_{\zeta=\pi/4}|\Omega_+\rangle\langle\Omega_+| \otimes |0\rangle_E\langle 0|_E U^\dagger_{\zeta=\pi/4}$.

A general lower bound for $\delta(\rho)$ when ρ satisfies the inequality $\sup_{\beta\in\mathbb{C}}\langle\beta|\rho|\beta\rangle \leq \mathrm{tr}(\rho^2)$ was derived in Ref.[20], but is not applicable to the state $\Xi_{\zeta=\frac{\pi}{4}}(|0\rangle\langle 0|)$. To the knowledge of the author, a general lower bound for $\delta(\rho)$ when ρ violates that inequality is lacking. However, the following Proposition guarantees that $\Xi_{\zeta=\frac{\pi}{4}}(|0\rangle\langle 0|)$ is nonclassical for all environment energy constraints E. The proof makes use of the fact that a quantum state ρ is classical if and only if $|\chi_\rho(x,y)| \leq e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x^2+y^2)}$ everywhere on the plane [23].

Proposition 2. *For all coherent states $|\alpha\rangle$, $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$, the following inequality holds:*

$$\lim_{E\to\infty}\delta(\Xi_{\zeta=\frac{\pi}{4}}(|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|)) > 0. \tag{13}$$

Proof of Proposition 2. We compare the $E\to\infty$ behavior of the characteristic function $g(x,y) := \chi_{\Xi_{\zeta=\frac{\pi}{4}}(|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|)}(x,y)$, which can be computed from Eq.(A3), to the Gaussian $v(x,y) := e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x^2+y^2)}$. Because $|g(x,y)|$ does not depend on the mean vector of $|\alpha\rangle$, we can specialize to $\alpha = 0$ (i.e., take vacuum input). As

$E \to \infty$, $g(x,y)$ is asymptotically equal to $f(x,y)$, where

$$f(x,y) := e^{-\frac{1}{8}(x^2+y^2)} e^{-\frac{1}{8}(e^{-2w}x^2+e^{2w}y^2)} \cos\left(\frac{e^w x}{2}\right), \tag{14}$$

and where $w := \frac{1}{2}\ln d_c(E) > 0$. The asymptotic behavior of $g(x,y)$ follows from the fact that as $E \to \infty$, $\gamma_c \to d_c(E)/2$. Let us now assume that as $E \to \infty$ (i.e., as $w \to \infty$), $|g(x,y)| \leq e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x^2+y^2)}$ for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then, on the $y = 0$ line, it follows that $|e^{-\frac{e^{-2w}}{8}x^2} \cos\left(\frac{e^w x}{2}\right)| \leq e^{-\frac{1}{8}x^2}$ for all x and, therefore,

$$\left|\cos\left(\frac{e^w x}{2}\right)\right| \leq e^{-\frac{1}{8}(1-e^{-2w})x^2} \tag{15}$$

for all x. But, for any $w > 0$, Eq.(15) does not hold for all x and we conclude that $\lim_{E\to\infty} \delta(\Xi_{\zeta=\frac{\pi}{4}}(|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|)) \neq 0$. □

Therefore, unlike the case of vacuum mixing on 50:50 beamsplitter with an environment prepared in an even coherent state, when vacuum is mixed with the $|\Omega_+\rangle$ environment, it is converted into a state which is asymptotically nonclassical.

A. Nonclassicality imparted by a noisy Ξ_ζ attenuator channel

We now proceed to address the following two questions regarding the potential of the channel Ξ_ζ to create nonclassical states in the presence of noise: 1) What is the thermal noise threshold that a quantum oscillator, initially prepared in a coherent state, can tolerate, above which the channel Ξ outputs a classical state? and, 2) What is the minimal amount of thermal noise N that must be added to the environment state $|\Omega_+\rangle$ to guarantee that the channel $\rho \mapsto \mathrm{tr}_E\left(U_{\mathrm{BS}}\rho \otimes \Phi_N(|\Omega_+\rangle_E\langle\Omega_+|_E)U_{\mathrm{BS}}^\dagger\right)$ maps all classical states into classical states, where Φ_N is a classical Gaussian noise channel (type B_2 of Ref.[15])? When $\zeta = \pi/4$, i.e., $U_{\mathrm{BS}}(\zeta)$ is the 50:50 beamsplitter, the critical noise values that answer these questions are equal and we therefore address only the first question.

Consider the action of the bosonic Gaussian channel Φ_N that imparts classical noise N to a coherent state $|\beta\rangle$ via $\Phi_N(|\beta\rangle\langle\beta|) = \int \frac{d^2z}{\pi N} e^{-\frac{|z-\beta|^2}{N}} |z\rangle\langle z|$. Without loss of generality, we restrict to the case of a system initially prepared in the Fock vacuum $\beta = 0$. Then, with $q := (a + a^\dagger)/\sqrt{2}$, $p := (-ia + ia^\dagger)/\sqrt{2}$ the position and momentum quadratures, respectively, the characteristic function $\chi_{\rho'}(x,y) := \mathrm{tr}\left(\rho' e^{ixq+iyp}\right)$ of the output state of the channel, $\rho' := \Xi_\zeta \circ \Phi_N(|0\rangle\langle 0|)$, is given by

$$\chi_{\Xi_\zeta\circ\Phi_N(|0\rangle\langle 0|)}(x,y) = e^{-\frac{\cos^2|\zeta|}{2}(N+\frac{1}{2})(x^2+y^2)} \cdot \chi_{|\Omega_+\rangle\langle\Omega_+|}(x\sin|\zeta|, y\sin|\zeta|) \tag{16}$$

where $\chi_{|\Omega_+\rangle\langle\Omega_+|}$ is given by Eq.(A3). Hereafter, we take $\zeta = \pi/4$, which corresponds to the 50:50 beamsplitter.

We again recall that a state ρ is classical if and only if $|\chi_\rho(x,y)| \leq e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x^2+y^2)}$ everywhere on the plane [23]. It follows that for ρ' to be classical, it is necessary that there does not exist $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$e^{-\frac{1}{4}x^2} - \left|\chi_{\rho'}(x,0)\right| < 0. \tag{17}$$

By using Eq.(A3) and Eq.(10), and defining $c(x) := |\cos\left(\frac{\gamma_c x}{\sqrt{d_c(E)}}\right) + e^{-2\gamma_c^2}|/(1 + e^{-2\gamma_c^2})$, it follows that

$$e^{-\frac{1}{4}x^2} - \left|\chi_{\rho'}(x,0)\right| = e^{-\frac{1}{4}x^2} - e^{-\frac{(N+\frac{1}{2})}{4}x^2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{8d_c(E)}} c(x). \tag{18}$$

Note that $c(x) \leq 1$ for all x. For any $\epsilon > 0$, taking the classical noise value $N = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2d_c(E)} - \epsilon$ results in the simplification of the right hand side of Eq.(18) to the expression $e^{-\frac{1}{4}x^2}\left(1 - e^{\frac{\epsilon x^2}{4}} c(x)\right)$ which cannot be greater than zero for all x. Therefore, the state $\Xi_{\zeta=\pi/4} \circ \Phi_N(|\beta\rangle\langle\beta|)$ is nonclassical for $N < N_{\mathrm{crit}}$, where

$$N_{\mathrm{crit}} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2d_c(E)}. \tag{19}$$

For all environment energy constraints E, it also holds that for $N \geq N_{\mathrm{crit}}$, $\Xi_{\zeta=\pi/4} \circ \Phi_N(|\beta\rangle\langle\beta|)$ is classical. This is readily confirmed analytically in the $E \to 0$ and $E \to \infty$ limits and can be confirmed numerically for intermediate values.

The minimal thermal noise that a system prepared in a state ρ can tolerate, beyond which the state $\Phi_N(\rho)$ is classical has been analyzed under the name "nonclassicality depth" [24]. This notion has been further extended to multimode bosonic systems, sets of quantum states, and general quantum channels [25].

B. Output noise of the Ξ_r amplifier channel

Any quantum channel carrying out phase-preserving linear amplification of a single-mode bosonic signal can be expressed in the Stinespring form with the dynamics of system and environment given by the two-mode squeeze operator $U = U_{TM}(r)$ [26]. The ideal phase-preserving linear amplifier which, by definition, exhibits minimal noise in the second moment of the electric field of the output state, can be achieved by preparation of the environment mode in the Fock vacuum state. For the Ξ channel with $U = U_{TM}(r)$, we are interested in the second moment noise generated when the environment mode is prepared in $|\Omega_+\rangle$.

We quantify the mean second moment noise of a state ρ by $\nu(\rho) := \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\pi d\theta \langle\left(\Delta x^{(\theta)}\right)^2\rangle_\rho$, where $x^{(\theta)} := e^{i\theta a^\dagger a} q e^{-i\theta a^\dagger a}$. Note that for an energy constraint $\langle a^\dagger a\rangle_\rho = \epsilon$, the mean second moment noise is maximized

in the set of states that satisfy $\langle a\rangle_\rho = 0$. In order to compare the mean second moment noise of an input state ρ to the mean second moment noise of the output $\Xi(\rho)$, we form the quantity $\mu(\rho) := \frac{1}{g^2}(\nu(\Xi(\rho))/\nu(\rho)) \geq \frac{1}{g^2}$, in which a factor of the squared amplifier gain $g^2 := \cosh^2 r$ is canceled in the noise ratio. For an input coherent state, one finds that $\nu(|\beta\rangle\langle\beta|) = 2\left(1-\frac{1}{g^2}\right)\left(1+\langle a^\dagger a\rangle\right) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{g^2})$, whereas for the input state $|\Omega_+\rangle$, $\nu(|\Omega_+\rangle\langle\Omega_+|) = \frac{\left(2-\frac{1}{g^2}\right)\langle a^\dagger a\rangle_{|\Omega_+\rangle}+1+\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{g^2})}{\langle a^\dagger a\rangle_{|\Omega_+\rangle}+1}$. The Ξ_r channel is able to impart extensive phase insensitive second moment noise to an input coherent state, but not to a $|\Omega_+\rangle$ input, which already exhibits extensive mean second moment noise. We note that the channel Ξ_r maps the set of classical states to classical states, so the analysis of Section III A is not relevant here.

IV. CONTRACTION OF A DIAMETER BY THE Ξ CHANNEL

In Section II, the trace norm diameter of the convex hull of the set of pure Gaussian states σ that satisfy $\langle a^\dagger a\rangle_\sigma = E$ was identified. In terms of binary distinguishability of quantum signals in this set, the most important property of the Ξ channel is the contraction coefficient

$$\tau(\Xi) := \sup_{\substack{\rho,\sigma\in CCH(\mathcal{G})\\ \rho\neq\sigma}} \frac{\|\Xi(\rho)-\Xi(\sigma)\|_1}{\|\rho-\sigma\|_1} \tag{20}$$

where $\mathcal{G} := \{\sigma |\, \sigma \text{ Gaussian state}, \sigma^2 = \sigma, \langle a^\dagger a\rangle_\sigma = E\}$ and $CCH(\mathcal{G})$ is the closed convex hull of $\mathcal{G}$. If $\tau(\Xi)$ is close to its maximal value 1, then two quantum signals in $CCH(\mathcal{G})$ can be chosen and passed through the channel Ξ without a large loss in the maximal probability of successfully distinguishing the signals (over all possible measurements). In the absence of the restriction to $CCH(\mathcal{G})$, the trace norm contraction coefficient is achieved on a pair of orthogonal pure states [27]. In the present case, we calculate a lower bound to $\tau(\Xi)$ which makes use of its $\mathbb{Z}_2$ covariance property. Consider the states ρ_1, $\rho_2 \in CCH(\mathcal{G})$ such that $e^{i\pi a^\dagger a}\rho_1 e^{-i\pi a^\dagger a} = \rho_2$. From the definition in Eq.(20) and $\mathbb{Z}_2$ covariance, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\Xi) &\geq \frac{\|\Xi(\rho_1-\rho_2)\|_1}{\|\rho_1-\rho_2\|_1}\\ &= \frac{\|\Xi(\rho_1) - e^{i\pi a^\dagger a}\Xi(|\varphi_1\rangle\langle\varphi_1|)e^{-i\pi a^\dagger a}\|_1}{\|\rho_1-\rho_2\|_1}.\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

From the characterization of $\|\rho-\sigma\|_1$ as the maximal ℓ_1 distance between the images of ρ and σ under a quantum measurement, we find that, for a given positive operator-valued measure $\{E(dx)\}$ defining the quantum measurement with outcome space $\mathcal{X}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho_1-\rho_2\|_1\tau(\Xi) \geq \int \Big|&\mathrm{tr}\left(E(dx)\Xi(\rho_1)\right)\\ &- \mathrm{tr}\left(E(dx)e^{i\pi a^\dagger a}\Xi(\rho_1)e^{-i\pi a^\dagger a}\right)\Big|.\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

By taking $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{C}$ and choosing positive operator-valued measurement $\{|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|\frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi}\}$, Eq.(22) becomes

$$\tau(\Xi) \geq \frac{\int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi}\left|Q_{\Xi(\rho_1)}(\alpha) - Q_{\Xi(\rho_1)}(-\alpha)\right|}{\|\rho_1-\rho_2\|_1}, \tag{23}$$

where, for a quantum state ρ, $Q_\rho(\alpha) := \langle\alpha|\rho|\alpha\rangle$ is the Husimi Q function. A corollary of the construction of $|\Omega_+\rangle$ in Section II is that each diameter of $CCH(\mathcal{G})$ is defined by a pair of pure Gaussian states that have opposite mean vectors. Therefore, the right hand side of Eq.(23) can be used to compute a lower bound for the trace norm contraction coefficient for every diameter of $CCH(\mathcal{G})$. More generally, the bound in Eq.(23) holds for any quantum channel covariant with respect to a group that contains a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ subgroup.

V. CONCLUSION

By identifying the pairs of pure Gaussian states $|\varphi_1\rangle$, $|\varphi_2\rangle$ that exhibit maximal trace norm distance subject to an energy constraint, and proposing to utilize the lowest energy state $|\Omega_+\rangle$ in the span of $|\varphi_1\rangle$, $|\varphi_2\rangle$ as the initial environment state in the Stinespring form of a quantum channel, we have motivated a distinct class of linear bosonic quantum channels. Unlike the case of linear bosonic Gaussian channels, the present channels do not map the set of Gaussian states to itself.

We examined the transfer of nonclassical features to the Fock vacuum by the $\Xi_{\zeta=\pi/4}$ channel and compared the nonclassicality distance of $\Xi_{\zeta=\pi/4}(|0\rangle\langle 0|)$ to the value obtained when the environment is initialized in an even coherent state. In particular, we found that the $\Xi_{\zeta=\pi/4}$ channel maps a single mode system prepared in a coherent state to a nonclassical state even for asymptotically large values of the environment energy constraint. Furthermore, we computed the critical thermal noise beyond which the $\Xi_{\zeta=\pi/4}$ channel cannot convert a classical system to a nonclassical state. Finally, the second moment noise generated by the Ξ_r phase insensitive linear amplifier was calculated both for systems initialized in a coherent state and in $|\Omega_+\rangle$, and a general lower bound for the trace norm contraction coefficient of the Ξ channels was derived.

In this paper, no attempt has been made toward a detailed mathematical description (e.g., derivation of a normal form) of the structure of the set of linear bosonic quantum channels defined by superpositions of maximally distant environment states. Future work could

involve an analysis of the communication capacities of the Ξ channel, which are closely related to the entropy production by the channel and its weak complement. Progress in this direction has already been made in the case of photon-added linear bosonic channels, which are defined by linear quantum dynamics and an environment initialized in a non-vacuum Fock state [28]. Investigations into these topics would constitute further progress toward understanding the general properties non-Gaussian linear bosonic channels. We expect the present work to provide a basis for such studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Korea Research Fellowship Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning (2016H1D3A1908876). The author thanks Yongkyung Kwon for hosting during the completion of this work and also acknowledges the support of the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2015R1D1A1A09056745).

Appendix A: Selected properties of $|\Omega_+\rangle$

Several properties of superpositions of "ideal coherent states" of the form $|(\alpha, r)\rangle + |(-\alpha, r)\rangle$, with $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ were calculated in Ref.[29]. Superpositions of tensor products of such states have been considered as generalizations of the well-known entangled coherent states and hierarchical Schrödinger cat states [30]. Here, we collect some facts concerning $|\Omega_+\rangle$ and the channel Ξ that are relevant to the applications in the main text.

1. Fock basis amplitudes

The amplitudes $\langle n|\Omega_+\rangle$ of $|\Omega_+\rangle$ in the Fock state basis $\{|n\rangle : n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ can be obtained straightforwardly from the analogous amplitudes for the two-photon coherent state (Ref.[31], p. 1050). The Fock state basis amplitudes for the state $|\Psi\rangle := S(w)\left(\frac{D(\gamma)+D(-\gamma)}{\sqrt{2+2\exp -2\gamma^2}}\right)|0\rangle$, with γ, $w \in \mathbb{R}$ are:

$$\langle n|\Psi\rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & , n \text{ odd} \\ \frac{\left(\frac{\tanh w}{2}\right)^{n/2} e^{\frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 \tanh w}}{\sqrt{n!}\sqrt{\cosh(w)\cosh(\gamma^2)}} H_n\left(\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\sinh 2w}}\right) & , n \text{ even} \end{cases} \tag{A1}$$

where $H_n(x)$ are the Hermite polynomials. Substituting $w = \frac{1}{2}\ln d_c(E)$, $\gamma = \gamma_c = r(d_c(E))\sqrt{d_c(E)}$ into Eq.(A1) gives the E-dependent Fock state amplitudes for $|\Omega_+\rangle$. The Fock state distribution $|\langle n|\Omega_+\rangle|^2$ is shown for various values of E in Fig. 3. The number distribution corresponding to the optimal parameters of $|\Omega_+\rangle$ exhibits a notable feature, namely that $\langle n|\Omega_+\rangle = 0$ for $n = 2$. This fact is obtained from Eq.(A1) by substitution of $x = 2\gamma_c/\left(d_c(E) + (d_c(E)^{-1})\right)$ into $H_2(x) = 4x^2 - 2$. The Fock state "revivals" exhibited for $E \gtrsim 5$ constitutes a second notable feature of the number distribution.



FIG. 3. a) Fock state distribution for $|\Omega_+\rangle$ for $E = 0.5, 1.0, 5.0, 10$. The vacuum probability $p(0) := |\langle 0|\Omega_+\rangle|^2$ is not shown; the corresponding values are: $(E = 0.5, p(0) = 0.9974)$, $(E = 1.0, p(0) = 0.9822)$, $(E = 5.0, p(0) = 0.6987)$, $(E = 10, p(0) = 0.5175)$.

2. Alternative expressions for $|\Omega_+\rangle$

For ease of calculation, it is useful to note that the state $|\Omega_+\rangle$ can be expressed as a superposition of distributions of coherent states on the lines $C_\pm$ in the complex plane defined by the equations $z = \pm r(d_c(E)) + ix$, respectively, where $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$. This property follows from the analogous property of the squeezed vacuum and the even coherent state [32–34]. For the normalized state $|\psi(r, d)\rangle \propto |(r, \frac{1}{2}\ln d)\rangle + |(-r, \frac{1}{2}\ln d)\rangle$, where $r \geq 0$ and $d > 1$, the integral expression for the normalized state is found to be:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(r,d)\rangle = & \frac{d^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{\pi(d-1)\left(2+2e^{-2dr^2}\right)}} \\ & \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx\, e^{-\left(\frac{1}{d-1}\right)x^2} \left[e^{-irx}|ix + r\rangle \right. \\ & \left. + e^{irx}|ix - r\rangle\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

We define the characteristic function $\chi_\rho : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ of a state ρ by $\chi_\rho(x, y) = \mathrm{tr}\left(\rho e^{ixq+ipy}\right)$, where q and p are the position and momentum operators satisfying $[q, p] = i$. For a state of the form $|\Psi\rangle$ defined in Section A 1, the characteristic function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|}(x,y) = & \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4}\left(e^{-2w}x^2 + e^{2w}y^2\right)}}{1+e^{-2\gamma^2}} \\ & \cdot \left[\cos\left(\sqrt{2}\gamma e^{-w}x\right)\right. \\ & \left. + e^{-2\gamma^2}\cosh\left(\sqrt{2}\gamma e^{w}y\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

The state $|\Omega_+\rangle\langle\Omega_+|$ can be written in terms of the operators $W(x,y)$ as $|\Omega_+\rangle\langle\Omega_+| = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int dx\,dy\,\chi_{|\Omega_+\rangle\langle\Omega_+|}(x,y)W((-x,-y))$.

Appendix B: Operator-sum representation of Ξ

To relate the expression of the quantum channel Ξ given in Eq.(1) to the formalism of quantum operations [35], it is necessary to derive an operator-sum representation for Ξ. A discrete operator-sum representation of the channel Ξ can be derived from the Fock basis matrix elements $\langle n', m'|U_{\rm BS/TM}|n, m\rangle$ of $U_{\rm BS/TM}$ and the Fock basis amplitudes $\langle n|\Omega_+\rangle$ of the environment state using the technique of Ref.[36]. To derive a continuous operator sum representation of the form $\Xi(\rho) = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} K_\beta \rho K_\beta^\dagger$, one must simplify the operator $K_\beta := {}_E\langle\beta|U|\Omega_+\rangle_E$, where $U = U_\zeta$ or $U = U_r$, which can be achieved by the appropriate Lie group factorizations (SU(2) for U_ζ and $SU(1,1)$ for U_r) and use of the coherent state representation of $|\Omega_+\rangle$ (Eq.(A2)).

[1] S. Deléglise, I. Dotsenko, C. Sayrin, J. Bernu, M. Brune, J.-M. Raimond, and S. Haroche, Nature **455**, 510 (2008).
[2] B. Vlastakis, G. Kirchmair, Z. Leghtas, S. E. Nigg, L. Frunzio, S. M. Girvin, M. Mirrahimi, M. H. Devoret, and R. J. Schoelkopf, Science **342**, 607 (2013).
[3] C. Wang, Y. Y. Gao, P. Reinhold, R. W. Heeres, N. Ofek, K. Chou, C. Axline, M. Reagor, J. Blumoff, K. M. Sliwa, L. Frunzio, S. M. Girvin, L. Jiang, M. Mirrahimi, M. H. Devoret, and R. J. Schoelkopf, Science **352**, 1087 (2016).
[4] Z. Leghtas, S. Touzard, I. M. Pop, A. Kou, B. Vlastakis, A. Petrenko, K. M. Sliwa, A. Narla, S. Shankar, M. J. Hatridge, M. Reagor, L. Frunzio, R. J. Schoelkopf, M. Mirrahimi, and M. H. Devoret, Science **347**, 853 (2015).
[5] T. C. Ralph, A. Gilchrist, G. J. Milburn, W. J. Munro, and S. Glancy, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 042319 (2003).
[6] A. Gilchrist, K. Nemoto, W. Munro, T. Ralph, S. Glancy, S. Braunstein, and G. Milburn, J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. **6**, S828 (2004).
[7] M. Sasaki and O. Hirota, Phys. Lett. A **210**, 21 (1996).
[8] P. Janszky, J. Domokos, Phys. Lett. A **186**, 289 (1994).
[9] V. Dodonov, C. Valverde, L. Souza, and B. Baseia, Phys. Lett. A **375**, 3668 (2011).
[10] F. A. El-Orany, J. Peřina, V. Peřinová, and M. Sebawe Abdalla, Eur. Phys. J. D **22**, 141 (2003).
[11] R. Filip, J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. **3**, S1 (2001).
[12] C. Brif, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **251**, 180 (1996).
[13] N. N. Bogoliubov, J. Phys. **9**, 23 (1947).
[14] S. Takagi, Prog. Theor. Phys. Supp. **88**, 1 (1986).
[15] A. S. Holevo, Probl. Inf. Transm. **43**, 1 (2007).
[16] A. S. Holevo, *Quantum Systems, Channels, Information: A Mathematical Introduction* (de Gruyter, Berlin/Boston, 2012).
[17] C. W. Helstrom, *Quantum Detection and Estimation Theory* (Academic Press, New York, 1976).
[18] H. Scutaru, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **31**, 3659 (1998).
[19] M. Hillery, Phys. Lett. **111A**, 409 (1985).
[20] M. Hillery, Phys. Rev. A **35**, 725 (1987).
[21] R. Nair, arXiv quant-ph , 1701.07688v1 (2017).
[22] T. J. Volkoff and K. B. Whaley, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 012122 (2014).
[23] G. S. Agarwal, *Quantum optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013).
[24] C. T. Lee, Phys. Rev. A **44**, R2775 (1991).
[25] K. K. Sabapathy, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 042103 (2016).
[26] S. Pandey, Z. Jiang, J. Combes, and C. M. Caves, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 033852 (2013).
[27] M. B. Ruskai, Rev. Math. Phys. **6**, 1147 (1994).
[28] K. K. Sabapathy and A. Winter, arXiv , 1604.07859v3 (2017).
[29] A. Vidiella-Barranco and H. Moya-Cessa, Braz. J. Phys. **25**, 44 (1995).
[30] T. J. Volkoff, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **121**, 770 (2015).
[31] L. Mandel and E. Wolf, *Optical coherence and quantum optics* (Cambridge University Press, 1995).
[32] J. Janszky and A. V. Vinogradov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2771 (1990).
[33] M. Orszag, R. Ramírez, J. C. Retamal, and C. Saavedra, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3815 (1992).
[34] J. Janszky, P. Adam, and A. V. Vinogradov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3816 (1992).
[35] E. B. Davies, *Quantum theory of open systems* (Academic Press, New York, 1976).
[36] J. S. Ivan, K. K. Sabapathy, and R. Simon, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 042311 (2011).